

# Approved Telephone Conversation/Meeting Summary

## Boston Logan Airport Noise Study Logan International Airport

---

DATE: 06/19/07  
TIME: 4:00 p.m. EDT

Telephone Conversation  
 Meeting  
 Other

SUBJECT: Phase 2 Bi-Weekly Project Management Call

SUMMARY PREPARED BY: Rick Peloquin

DATE PREPARED: 06/19/07

ATTENDEES (include affiliation):

Name	Affiliation
Steve Smith	PC
Jon Woodward	IC
Gail Lattrell	FAA
Steve Kelly	FAA
Tina Gatewood	FAA
Sandra Kunz	CAC (Braintree)
Jerry Falbo	CAC (Winthrop)

PARTICIPANTS (include affiliation):

Name	Affiliation
Marianne McCabe	CAC (Marshfield)
Ron Hardaway	CAC (East Boston)
Declan Boland	CAC (Hingham)
Joe Davies	FAA
Buddy Borgioli	CAC (Swampscott)
John Stewart	CAC (Boston)

COPIES OF SUMMARY SENT TO:

Individuals	Files
_____	_____

---

### **Attendance:**

Steve Smith took attendance.

### **Approval of 06/05/07 Meeting Notes:**

There was one change in the notes. Richard Doucette (FAA) was added to the attendee list from this call since he participated. Notes were then approved.

### **Action Item Status:**

S. Smith provided updates on the action items from the prior meeting. All action items were addressed as follows:

### **2005 vs 2006 Comparison Analysis**

Steve stated that PC is in the process of collecting info from Massport. Half of the information has been collected and the other half should be in by this Friday. After all the information has been

# Approved Telephone Conversation/Meeting Summary

## Boston Logan Airport Noise Study Logan International Airport

---

collected, it should take about 15 days for Wyle to complete the comparison. The results will be given to IC for review.

### **Project Plan BOS/TAC/CAC Comments**

S. Smith stated that he has received some comments and is in the process of producing a comments matrix which will keep track of the responses to comments.

### **Noise Modeling Protocol - critical**

S. Smith stated that that he has received substantial comments from IC. He also reported plans for PC to have an informal meeting with AEE and ATO for feedback prior to meeting with CAC and BOSTAC. He mentioned this at the BOS/TAC meeting and the intention of the meeting-to gain informal insight and feedback from FAA's modeling approval body. He will ask Wyle to document the discussion at this meeting which will take place on June 27, 2007. PC will also share the comments/concerns provided by IC at this meeting.

### **Phase 1 Completion Items:**

The FAA is moving forward with the environmental determination. They received two written submissions which were considered.

### **Identification of Phase 2 Alternatives Process:**

Regarding Phase 2 participation, G. Lattrell stated that she has reached out to folks at ATA and other airlines in an attempt to get them involved in this process and to make sure that they are clear and informed about the flight tracks. She thinks that they may not want to be involved because of the amount of time needed for this process.

S. Smith provided members of the CAC and BOSTAC conceptual illustrations from the beginning of Phase 1 and requested PMT to provide thoughts on how to proceed with identifying alternatives for Phase 2. J. Falbo stated that he feels that CAC should be informed about feasible alternatives before they become formal and that the all affected communities should have a chance to be involved. There was much discussion on this topic and the best way of identifying alternatives. J. Woodward thinks that brainstorming is the best approach and that the IC should talk to CAC before any alternatives are deemed formal. As the discussion progressed, the FAA agreed that getting the communities involved is a good idea. After this call, S. Smith quickly wrote up the details (see email) of the General Public Outreach Plan and emailed it to BOSTAC and the CAC.

### **Observer Comments/Suggestions:**

After much discussion about identifying alternatives for Phase 2 and public outreach, M. McCabe emphasized that she would like to see a disclosure in the first of the three outreach letters if there is a potential action in the specific community in which the letters are sent.

J. Stewart voiced his dissatisfaction with using Phase 2 alternatives as a starting point and wants them pulled of the table. Steve indicated that BOS/TAC and CAC can certainly discuss the merit of each one and determine if those who proposed them originally believe they should still be considered. Mr. Stewart would prefer to determine what specific noise issues the communities are trying to address first before alternatives are identified. Once the issue is identified, it will be easier and more efficient to come up with an alternative. J. Woodward agreed with Mr. Stewart and suggested that this could be discussed at the CAC meeting. S. Smith emphasized that having the

# Approved Telephone Conversation/Meeting Summary

## Boston Logan Airport Noise Study Logan International Airport

---

CAC provides this project a unique opportunity to discuss each of the communities issues related to aircraft noise. S. Kunz reminded the group of a survey conducted by IC at the beginning of Phase 1.

S. Smith closed the call.

Topic/Discussion	Action Item/Responsibility
Identification of Phase 2 Alternatives Process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• S. Smith to send an email to BOSTAC and CAC outlining the agreed approach to the General Public Outreach Plan.</li></ul>
Community Outreach Letter #1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• FAA will draft Letter #1 that will be sent to federal, state and local elected-officials.</li></ul>